



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Paner No. 7

ARTUN FILING DATE SERIAL NO.  Jean Y. Chenard et al  APPLICANT INVENTION  Stabilisation Of Halovinyl  Resins  Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen 260 Madison Ave. New York, N. Y. 10016  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed North Haloving in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b). b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. c. They raise the issue of new matter. d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					Paper No.
143		In Reply Please Refer To	The Following:		Mailed .
Jean Y. Chenard et al  APPLICANT  SEADITISATION  SEADITISATION  SEADITISATION  SEADITISATION  OSTROLPRIA  Below is a communication from the EXAMINE in charge of this application.  Commissioner of Patents, and Trademarks ndb/11-19-80  ADVISORY ACTION  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN  APPlicant's Gregories to the final rejection, filed  Applicant's From THE DATE OF THE F  REJECTION. 855 O. G. 1109.  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b).  b. They raise the size of new matter.  d. They raise the size of new matter.  d. They raise the size of new matter.  d. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Do the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will not be allowable.  Newly proposed or amended claims on non-references is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  1 The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant	EXAMINER'S NAME	V. Hoke	<del> </del>		
Jean Y. Chenard et al  APPLICANT  Stabilisation Of Haloviny1  Resins  Below is a communication from the EXAMINE in charge of this application.  Commissioner of Patents, and Trademarks not be application.  ADVISORY ACTION  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN  Applicant's response to the final rejection of the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b).  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.  c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.  c. They rare not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately a materialm application would be as follows:  a. Claims would be allowable.  b. Claims would be as follows:  a. Claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  (2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  (2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facile place the application in condition for allowance or in the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facile place the application in condition for allowance or in the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facile place the application in condition for allo	143	08-28-79	070503		
Stabilisation Of Haloviny1  Resins  Below is a communication from the EXAMINE in charge of this application. Commissioner of Patents, and Trademarks NoV 21 137  Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen 260 Madison Ave. New York, N. Y. 10016  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RIN	R. ART UN.	FILING DATE	SERIAL NO.		CALLA
Stabilisation Of Haloviny1 Resins  Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen 260 Madison Ave. New York, N. Y. 10016  ADVISORY ACTION  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN Appleant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a). Appleant's Fresponse to the final rejection, filed Appleant's response to the final rejection, filed Months From the Date Of the folleffect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a.   There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b). b.   They raise the tissue of new matter. d.   They raise the tissue of new matter. d.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a would be allowed if submitted in a separately amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment   will be   will not be, entered and the status of the claim this application would be as follows: a.   Claims		·			NOV 2 1 1939
OSETOLENK, Paber, Gerb & Soffen  260 Madison Ave.  New York, N. Y. 10016  ADVISORY ACTION  ADVISORY ACTION  MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FREJECTION, 855 O. G. 1109.  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed felter, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a.   There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b). b.   They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. c.   They raise is new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. d.   They raise new issues of new matter. d.   They raise new issue of new matter. d.   They raise he issue of new matter. d.   The safetion of claims	Stabili				GROUP 140
ADVISORY ACTION  THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE F. REJECTION. 855 O. G. 1109.  Appellant's response to the final rejection, filed Month's Frief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Month's Frief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Month's Frief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a).  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a.   There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b).  b.   They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.  c.   They raise new issues that would require further form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Newly proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the clain this application would be as follows:  a.   Claims	- Ostrole	enk, Faber, Gerl	b & Soffen		lelow is a communication from the EXAMINER n charge of this application.
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN	260 Mad	lison Ave.		(	Commissioner of Patents, and Trademarks
Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	-		ADVISORY	ACTION	ndb/11-19-80
Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	THE PERI	IOD FOR RESPONSE IS ON. 855 O. G. 1109.	EXTENDED TO RUN	5	MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FIN
The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a.   There is no convincing showing under Rule 116(b). b.   They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. c.   They raise the issue of new matter. d.   They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.    Newly proposed or amended claims	Appellant'	's Brief is due in accordant	ce with Rule 192(a).		(SC), has been considered with the follow ance:
a.	, k				
amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the clain this application would be as follows:  a. Claims would be allowable.  b. Claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  (1) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The ART UNIT 143	b. □ Th c. □ Th d. □ Th iss	ney raise new issues that we ney raise the issue of new raise the issue of new raise to place ney are not deemed to place ues for appeal.	rould require further co matter. ce the application in be	nsideration and tter form for ap	peal by materially reducing or simplifying the
in this application would be as follows:  a. Claims					would be allowed if submitted in a separately file
b. Claims				will be	will not be, entered and the status of the claim
However:  (1) The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  (2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been considered under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02). The proposed amendment has not been examined under the special accelerate	a. 🔲 Cla	aims		would be a	allowable.
(1) The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant response.  (2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance or in VERONICA P. HOKE PRIMARY EXAMINER  ART UNIT 143	b. Cla	aims	TT-1711	would not	be allowable.
by applicant's response.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.  PRIMARY EXAMINER  ART UNIT 143		The rejection of claim	ms	on refer	ences is deemed to be overcome by applicant's
The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.  PRIMARY EXAMINER  ART UNIT 143	(2)		ms nse.	on non-ı	reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome
earlier presented.  The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facile place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.  PRIMARY EXAMINER  ART UNIT 143	The affida	vit, exhibit or request for	reconsideration has bee	n entered but o	does not overcome the rejection.
amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.  PRIMARY EXAMINER  ART UNIT 143			admitted because applic	ant has not sho	own good and sufficient reasons why it was not
	The applic amendmer	ation having been examine nt has not been considere			veronication in condition for allowance or in
	OL-303 (Rev. 1-	-79)			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY